



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/817,797	03/27/2001	Michael Hermann	741124-79	8356

25570 7590 10/10/2008  
ROBERTS MLOTKOWSKI SAFRAN & COLE, P.C.  
Intellectual Property Department  
P.O. Box 10064  
MCLEAN, VA 22102-8064

EXAMINER
----------

CHANG, AUDREY Y

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2872

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

10/10/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lgallaugh@rmsclaw.com  
dbeltran@rmsclaw.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/817,797	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HERMANN, MICHAEL	
	<b>Examiner</b> Audrey Y. Chang	<b>Art Unit</b> 2872	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Audrey Y. Chang. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) David Safran. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 02 October 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's attorney has been contacted to explain that it is improper to convert the objection of claims to 35 USC 112, second paragraph, rejection in the appeal brief. The appeal brief filed on April 30, 2008 and supplemental brief filed on July 10, 2008 are therefore defective. If the applicant does not agree with the type of rejection to the claims, the proper channel for handling such is through petition.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Audrey Y. Chang/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2872